BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION

COMMISSIONERS 3 LEA MÁRQUEZ PETERSON – Chairwoman SANDRA D. KENNEDY JUSTIN OLSON ANNA TOVAR 5 JIM O'CONNOR 6 7 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF ARIZONA REVISED STATUTES SECTION §§ 40-0360, ET SEQ., FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL 10 COMPATIBILITY AUTHORIZING THE NORTH VALLEY 230KV FACILITY PROJECT. 11 CASE NO. 120 INCLUDING THE CONSTRUCTION OF APPROXIMATELY 31 12 MILES OF 230KV TRANSMISSION LINES, TWO 230KV SUBSTATIONS, AND THREE 13 SUBSTATION INTERCONNECTIONS IN MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA, 14 ORIGINATING AT THE WESTWING SUBSTATION IN SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP 4 15 NORTH RANGE 1 WEST, G&SRB&M AND INTERCONNECTING AT THE RACEWAY 16 SUBSTATION IN SECTIONS 4 AND 5. TOWNSHIP 5 NORTH, RANGE 1 EAST, 17 G&SRB&M, CONTINUING TO THE PROPOSED AVERY SUBSTATION IN 18 SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 5 NORTH, RANGE 2 EAST, G&SRB&M AND THE PROPOSED MISTY WILLOW SUBSTATION IN SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 4NORTH, RANGE 3 EAST, 20 G&SRB&M, AND TERMINATING AT THE PINNACLE PEAK SUBSTATION IN SECTION 21 10, TOWNSHIP 4 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST,

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED
SEP 2 9 2021

DOCKETED BY

DOCKET NO. L-00000D-02-0120-00120 CASE NO. 120

22

G&SRB&M

1

23

24

25

Docket No. L-00000D-02-0120-00120 Docket No. L-00000D-06-0635-00131

1 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY, IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF ARIZONA REVISED 3 STATUTES §§ 40-360, Et Seq., FOR A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMPATIBILITY AUTHORIZING THE TS-9 TO PINNACLE PEAK 500KV/230KV 5 TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECT WHICH INCLUDES A 500KV TRANSMISSION LINE, A 230KV TRANSMISSION LINE AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES AND 7 INTERCONNECTIONS ORIGINATING FROM THE PROPOSED TS-9 SUBSTATION LOCATED SOUTH OF SR74 IN PEORIA, AT SECTION 33, TOWNSHIP 6 NORTH, RANGE 1 EAST, G&SRB&M, TO THE EXISTING PINNACLE SUBSTATION LOCATED NEAR 10 64TH STREET AND PINNACLE PEAK ROAD IN PHOENIX, AT SECTION 10, TOWNSHIP 4 11 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, G&SRB&M 12 Open Meeting September 8 - 9, 2021 13

DOCKET NO. L-00000D-06-0635-00131

CASE NO. 131

DECISION NO.

SAMPLE ORDER

(Sample Form of Order for the convenience of the Commission to use if the Commission determines it is in the public interest to adopt the Recommended Opinion and Order of the Committee in response to APS's Request for Amendment of Decision Nos. 65997 and 69343)

Phoenix, Arizona

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY THE COMMISSION:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- On March 10, 2021, Arizona Public Service Company ("APS") filed a request 1. pursuant to Arizona Revised Statute ("A.R.S.") § 40-252 requesting that the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") amend Decision No. 65997, which approved a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility ("CEC") in Line Siting Case No. 120 ("CEC 120"), and Decision No. 69343, which approved a CEC in Line Siting Case No. 131 ("CEC 131").
- 2. Decision No. 65997, issued on June 18, 2003, approved the CEC 120 that authorized APS to construct (i) two new substations, the Scatter Wash and Avery Substations, and (ii) a doublecircuit 230 kV transmission line between the existing Westwing, Raceway, and Pinnacle Peak Substations.

- 3. Decision No. 69343, issued on February 20, 2007, approved CEC 131 that authorized APS to construct (i) two new substations and (ii) a double-circuit 500/230 kV transmission line between the Morgan and Pinnacle Peak Substations.
- 4. CEC 120 was subsequently amended in Decision No. 73824 (April 10, 2013) to, among other things, extend the term of CEC 120 to June 18, 2023 to construct the Scatter Wash and Avery Substations, align with CEC 131 by removing portions of the double-circuit 230kV line authorized in both CEC 120 that overlapped with CEC 131, and to move the location of the Scatter Wash Substation north of the previously-authorized substation site.
- 5. The requested amendment of Decision No. 65997 (CEC 120) would permit APS to expand the size of the Avery Substation from approximately ten acres to approximately 64 acres, to ensure adequate service to the Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company ("Semiconductor Plant") being developed near the northwest corner of the Loop 303 and the 43rd Avenue alignment in the City of Phoenix.
- 6. The requested amendment of Decision No. 69343 (CEC 131) would (i) permit APS to relocate an approximate 3.5-mile segment of the existing Morgan-Pinnacle Peak Line from its approved corridor, approximately 0.5 miles north, to prevent interference with the operations of the Semiconductor Plant, and (ii) authorize construction of a third substation to ensure adequate service to the Semiconductor Plant.
- 7. On May 5, 2021, in Decision Nos. 77987 and 77988, the Commission granted APS's request to amend the Decision Nos. 65997 and 69343 pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-252 and referred the Application back to the Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting Committee ("Line Siting Committee") to obtain additional testimony on all the issues raised in the Application and to provide a recommended opinion and order to the Commission.

20 .

- 8. On July 30, 2021, the Line Siting Committee issued a Recommended Opinion and Order Amending Decision Nos. 65997 and 69343 ("Recommended Opinion and Order") to effect the above changes to CEC 120 and CEC 131 ("Project Changes") attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 9. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 40-360, et seq., and A.R.S. § 40-252 and after due consideration of all relevant matters, the Commission finds and concludes that the Recommended Opinion and Order of the Line Siting Committee is in the public interest and hereby approved as set forth herein.
- 10. The Commission, in reaching its decision, has balanced all relevant matters in the broad public interest, including the need for an adequate, economical, and reliable supply of electric power and the effect of the Project Changes on the environment and ecology of this state, and finds that accepting the Line Siting Committee's recommendations in the Recommended Opinion and Order on APS's request for amending Decision No. 65997 and Decision No. 69343 is in the public interest.
 - 11. The Commission further finds and concludes:
 - a. The Project Changes recommended by the Line Siting Committee are in the public interest because they aid the state in meeting the need for an adequate, economical, and reliable supply of electric power.
 - b. In balancing the need for the Project Changes with their effect on the environment and ecology of the state, the conditions placed on the Project Changes effectively minimize the impact on the environment and ecology of the state.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Commission has jurisdiction over Arizona Public Service Company and the subject matter contained herein pursuant to Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-252 and 40-360 et seq.
 - 2. Notice of the proceedings has been given in the manner provided by law.
- 3. The Commission, having reviewed and considered the Request to Amend Decision No. 65997 and Decision No. 69343 and the Recommended Opinion and Order concludes that it is in the public interest to adopt the Recommended Opinion and Order attached hereto as Attachment 1.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Arizona Public Service Company's Application to amend Decision Nos. 65997 and 69343, which approved Certificates of Environmental Compatibility in Line Siting Case No. 120 and Line Siting Case No. 131 respectively, is hereby granted as set forth in the Recommended Opinion and Order of the Line Siting Committee attached hereto as Attachment I.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Recommended Opinion and Order issued by the Line Siting Committee in Attachment 1 is hereby adopted by the Commission.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Decisions Nos. 65997, 69343 and 73824 shall remain in full force and effect except to the extent modified herein by Attachment 1.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

Docket No. L-00000D-02-0120-00120

	Docket No. L-00000D-06-0635-00131		
1	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION		
2	y , O		
3	CHAIRWOMAN MÁRQUEZ PETERSON COMMISSIONER KENNEDY		
4	CHAIRWOMAN MARQUEZ PETERSON COMMISSIONER KENNEDY		
5	COMMISSIONER OLSON COMMISSIONER TOVAR COMMISSIONER OCONNOR		
6	COMMISSIONER OLSON COMMISSIONER TOVAR COMMISSIONER O'CONNOR		
7			
8	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, MATTHEW J. NEUBERT, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission,		
9	have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix,		
10	this day of Septem Ler, 2021.		
11	MATTHEW J. NEUBERT		
12	Executive Director		
13	DISSENT:		
14			
15	DISSENT:		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

ATTACHMENT 1

BEFOR THE ARIZONA POWER PLANT

AND TRANSMISSION LINE SITING COMMITTEE

AND TRANSMISSION LINE STI	ING COMMITTEE
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	DOCKET NO. L-00000D-02-0120
OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY	7
IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE	571
REQUIREMENTS OF ARIZONA REVISED	
STATUTES SECTION 40-360, ET SEQ., FOR	DECISION NO.:
A CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL	
COMPATIBILITY AUTHORIZING THE	**I
NORTH VALLEY 230KV FACILITY	a .
PROJECT, CASE NO. 120 INCLUDING THE	
CONSTRUCTION OF APPROXIMATELY 31	
MILES OF 230KV TRANSMISSION LINES,	RECOMMENDED OPINION AND
TWO 230KV SUBSTATIONS, AND THREE	ORDER AMENDING DECISION
SUBSTATION INTERCONNECTIONS IN	NO. 65997 AND DECISION NO.
MARICOPA COUNTY, ARIZONA,	69343
ORIGINATING AT THE WESTWING	
SUBSTATION IN SECTION 12, TOWNSHIP	
4 NORTH, RANGE 1 WEST, G&SRB&M	
AND INTERCONNECTING AT THE	1 2 A
RACEWAY SUBSTATION IN SECTIONS 4	R Z C Dou
AND 5, TOWNSHIP 5 NORTH, RANGE 1	音音 声 四
EAST, G&SRB&M, CONTINUING TO THE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
PROPOSED AVERY SUBSTATION IN	20 30 m
SECTION 15, TOWNSHIP 5 NORTH, RANGE	= T
2 EAST, G&SRB&M AND THE PROPOSED	EIVED 30 P 2:21 COMMISSION
MISTY WILLOW SUBSTATION IN	2
SECTION 8, TOWNSHIP 4 NORTH, RANGE	_
3 EAST, G&SRB&M, AND TERMINATING	
AT THE PINNACLE PEAK SUBSTATION IN	
SECTION 10, TOWNSHIP 4 NORTH, RANGE	
4 EAST, G&SRB&M.	
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION	DOCKET NO. L-00000D-06-0635-
CONTROL OBLIC SERVICE COMPANY IN	00131
CONFORMANCE WITH THE	,
REQUIREMENTS OF ARIZONA REVISED	CASE NO.: 131
STATUTES SECTION 40-360, et seq., FOR A	
CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL	DEGRATORES

ENVIRONMENTAL | DECISION NO.: COMPATIBILITY AUTHORIZING THE TS-9 PINNACLE PEAK 500kV/230kV

28

TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECT WHICH INCLUDES A 500kV TRANSMISSION LINE, A 230kV TRANSMISSION LINE AND ASSOCIATED **FACILITIES** AND INTERCONNECTIONS **ORIGINATING** FROM THE PROPOSED TS-9 SUBSTATION LOCATED SOUTH OF SR74 IN PEORIA, AT SECTION 33, TOWNSHIP 6 NORTH, RANGE I EAST, G&SRB&M, TO THE EXISTING PINNACLE PEAK SUBSTATION LOCATED NEAR 64TH STREET AND PINNACLE PEAK ROAD IN PHOENIX, AT SECTION 10, TOWNSHIP 4 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, G&SRB&M.

Open Meeting

, 2021

Phoenix, Arizona

BY THE COMMISSION:

3

5

6

7

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

FINDINGS OF FACT

Procedural History and Factual Background.

- 1. On March 10, 2021, APS filed an Application to Amend (Application) requesting that the Arizona Corporation Commission (Commission) amend Decision No. 65997 (June 18, 2003) and Decision No. 69343 (February 20, 2007), which approved the Certificates of Environmental Compatibility in Line Siting Case No. 120 (CEC 120) and Line Siting Case No. 131 (CEC 131).
- CEC 120 authorized APS to construct (i) two new substations known as the Scatter Wash (formerly Misty Willow Substation) and Avery Substations; and (ii) a new double-circuit 230kV transmission line between the existing Westwing, Raceway, and Pinnacle Peak Substations
- 3. CEC 131 authorized APS to construct (i) two new substations; and (ii) a new 27-mile 500/230kV double-circuit transmission line between the Morgan Substation (formerly TS9 Substation) and the Pinnacle Peak Substation (the Morgan Pinnacle Peak Line).
- 4. CEC 120 was subsequently amended in Decision No. 73824 (April 10, 2013) to, among other things, extend the term of CEC 120 to June 18, 2023 to construct the Scatter Wash and Avery Substations, align with CEC 131 by removing portions of the double-circuit 230kV line authorized in both CEC 120 that overlapped with CEC 131, and to move the location of the Scatter Wash Substation north of the previously-authorized substation site.
- The construction of the Morgan-Pinnacle Peak 500kV and 230kV lines were completed and energized in December 2010.
 - 6. The Scatter Wash Substation was completed in May 2020. Construction of

the Avery Substation commenced in 2021.

- 7. Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company (TSMC) is constructing an advanced semiconductor manufacturing plant in Phoenix on an approximate 1,100-acre site near the northwest corner of the Loop 303 and the 43rd Avenue alignment in the City of Phoenix (Plant or Semiconductor Plant). TSMC intends to have two fabrication units start preliminary operations in 2022 and ramp up to full operation by 2024.
- 8. The process of fabricating semiconductors is highly sensitive to electromagnetic interference from overhead transmission lines. As a result, APS must relocate an approximate 3.5 mile segment of the Morgan-Pinnacle Peak Line (Affected Line Segment) approximately 0.5 mile north of its current location to prevent interference with the Plant.
- 9. The Semiconductor Plant also uses an extensive amount of electric power and because of the high demands associated with the Plant, APS needs to expand existing substation plans for the Avery Substation and add a new 500/230kV substation (TS22) to serve the Plant.
- 10. The Application filed by APS requested that the Commission amend CEC 131 to (i) permit APS to relocate the Affected Line Segment from its approved corridor approximately 0.5 mile north; and (ii) to authorize the construction of a third substation to ensure adequate service to the Plant (CEC 131 Changes).
- 11. In that same Application, APS requested that the Commission amend CEC 120 to permit APS to expand the size of the Avery Substation site from approximately 10 acres to approximately 64 acres to ensure adequate service to the Plant (CEC 120 Change).
- 12. CEC 131 Changes and CEC 120 Change (collectively Project Changes) are needed to serve the operation of the TSMC Semiconductor Plant and to maintain reliability of the surrounding transmission system.

- 11

13. In CEC 131, the Commission authorized the construction of two substations, but APS now plans to add a third substation to serve the Semiconductor Plant. Because of the specificity of CEC 131 with regards to the construction of two substations, APS seeks to amend CEC 131 to authorize the construction of a third substation as part of CEC 131 Project Changes described above.

- 14. CEC 120 authorized construction of the Avery Substation within an area located approximately ½ mile west of I-17 in Section 15, Township 5 North, Range 2 East (Avery Substation Siting Area). Although CEC 120 does not expressly specify the size of the Avery Substation within the Avery Substation Siting Area, testimony given in Case 120 indicated that the Avery Substation would be situated on approximately 10 acres. Because APS must now seek to significantly expand the size of the Avery Substation from 10 acres to approximately 64 acres within the Avery Substation Siting Area to serve the Semiconductor Plant, APS seeks to amend CEC 120 to authorize the increase in size of the Avery Substation.
- 15. In response to the filing of the Application, the Commission entered Decision No. 77987 and Decision No. 77988 on May 5, 2021, referring the Application back to the Committee for an additional evidentiary hearing on all matters raised in the Application.
- 16. On June 11, 2021, APS filed a Supplement to the Application (Supplement) to provide the Committee with information on the impact of relocating the Affected Line Segment and construction of the substations in the form of environmental studies and related information typically presented during the line siting hearing process pursuant to Arizona Revised Statute (A.R.S.) § 40-360 et seq.

Hearing Before the Line Siting Committee.

 Pursuant to notice given as required by law, the Committee held a public hearing on July 26 through July 28, 2021 in Sun City, Arizona, in conformance with the

requirements of A.R.S. § 40-360 et seq., for the purpose of receiving evidence, deliberating on the Application and the Supplement, and issuing a Recommended Opinion and Order.

18. The following members and designees of the Committee were present at one or more of the hearing days for the evidentiary presentations, public comment, and/or for the deliberations:

Thomas Chenal	Chairman, Designee for Arizona Attorney General	
Leonard Drago	Designee for Director, Arizona Department of	
	Environmental Quality	
John R. Riggins	Designee for Director, Arizona Department of Water	
	Resources	
Zachary Branum	Designee of the Chairman, Arizona Corporation	
	Commission	
Karl Gentles	Appointed Member, representing the general public	
Jack Haenichen	Appointed Member, representing the general public	
Patricia A. Noland	Appointed Member, representing the general public	
Mary Hamway	Appointed Member, representing cities and towns	
James A. Palmer	Appointed Member, representing agriculture	
Rick Grinnell	Appointed Member, representing counties	

- 19. At the hearing, APS was represented by APS in-house counsel Linda Benally and Matt Derstine of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.
- 20. The testimony and evidence presented to the Committee was focused on the impacts of the proposed Project Changes. Specifically, the Committee heard testimony and evidence concerning: (i) the impacts of relocating the Affected Line Segment approximately 0.5 mile north of its current location; (ii) the impact of the construction of a new TS22 Substation; (iii) the impacts of the expansion of the Avery Substation within the Avery Substation Siting Area; and (iv) proposed changes to CEC 120 and CEC 131.
 - 21. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Committee, after considering (i) the

Application and the Supplement; (ii) evidence, testimony, and exhibits presented by APS; and (iii) comments of the public, upon motion duly made and seconded, voted 10 to 0 to approve this "Recommended Opinion and Order" dated July 28, 2021.

22. The Committee's Recommended Opinion and Order includes the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law set forth below.

Line Siting Committee Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

- 23. The Project Changes aid the state and the southwest region in meeting the need for an adequate, economical, and reliable supply of electric power.
- 24. The Project Changes aid the state in preserving a safe and reliable electric transmission system.
- 25. The Project Changes are in the public interest because the Project Changes' contribution to meeting the need for an adequate, economical, and reliable supply of electric power outweighs the minimized impact of the Project Changes on the environment and ecology of the state.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Project Changes are in the public interest because they aid the state in meeting the need for an adequate, economical, and reliable supply of electric power.
- 2. In balancing the need for the Project Changes with their effect on the environment and ecology of the state, the conditions placed on the Project Changes effectively minimize the impact on the environment and ecology of the state.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that except as modified by this Order, Decision No. 65997 (June 18, 2003) as amended by Decision No. 73824 (April 10, 2013), and Decision No. 69343 (February 20, 2007), shall remain in full force and effect.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Decision No. 69343 (February 20, 2007) is modified at page 3 of CEC 131, to substitute the sentence on lines 6-7 with the following language: "The

Project is a double-circuit transmission line with one 500kV circuit and one 230kV circuit and three substations."

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Decision No. 69343 is modified to permit the relocation of the Affected Line Segment within a new corridor approximately 0.5 mile to the north of its current location (New Alignment) by modifying the description of the route and corridor of the existing Morgan – Pinnacle Peak Line on page 3, lines 11 to 22 of CEC 131 as shown on Exhibit A with the following language:

Corridor ("Navajo Corridor") (State Land ROW No. 14-26216), following the Navajo Corridor southwest to a point 0.5 mile south of SR 74 in a 1,500 foot-wide corridor measured to the east from the westernmost edge of the existing Navajo Corridor right-of-way. From this point, the route proceeds southeast for approximately 1,400 feet to a point approximately 1,250 feet north of the Dove Valley Road alignment, described as Latitude 33°47'13" North and Longitude - 112°16'42" West within Section 8, Township 5 North, Range 1 East, in the 1,500-foot corridor measured to the east from the westernmost edge of the existing Navajo Corridor right-of-way.

The route then turns east and follows the alignment 1,250 feet north of the Dove Valley Road alignment east to a point approximately 0.2 mile east of the 67th Avenue alignment, within Section 7, Township 5 North, Range 2 East G&SRB&M, in a 3,000-foot corridor (1,500 feet north and 1,500 feet south) centered on the section line designating the Dove Valley Road alignment.

A New Corridor extends north 3,900 feet from a point that is 0.2 mile east of the 67th Avenue alignment and 1,000 feet north of the Dove Valley Road alignment. The New Corridor extends east to a point 0.3 mile west of the 51st Avenue alignment. From the point 0.3 mile west of the 51st Avenue alignment, the corridor narrows to the south by 1,095 feet to a corridor width that is now 2,805

2

3

4

5

6

7

feet wide. Continuing from this point, the corridor extends east to a point 1,000 feet east of the 39th Avenue alignment. The corridor then turns south to the Dove Valley Road alignment as a 2,000-foot wide corridor, centered on the 39th Avenue alignment.

The New Alignment proceeds east from a point 0.2 mile east of the 67th Avenue alignment and 1,250 feet north of the Dove Valley Road alignment, within Section 7, Township 5 North, Range 2 East G&SRB&M within the 3,900-foot wide corridor for approximately 0.85 mile to a point approximately 0.8 mile west of the 51st Avenue alignment within Section 8, Township 5 North, Range 2 East G&SRB&M.

From this point, the New Alignment proceeds northeast approximately 0.35 mile within the 3,900-foot wide corridor to a point (Latitude 33°47'25" North Longitude -112°10'47"), along the half-section line of Section 8, Township 5 North, Range 2 East G&SRB&M between SR 74 and the Dove Valley Road Alignment where the line would form an in and out interconnection to the TS22 Substation. The TS22 Substation will be approximately 80 acres and sited within the approximate 475-acre TS22 Substation Siting Area which is described as follows:

The TS22 Substation Siting Area boundary begins at a point 3,805 feet north of the Dove Valley Road alignment on the 51st Avenue alignment. The boundary continues east for 0.5 mile to a point 0.5 mile east of the 51st Avenue alignment and 3,805 feet north of the Dove Valley Road alignment. The boundary proceeds south for 2,485 feet to a point 0.5 mile east of the 51st Avenue alignment and 1,320 feet north of the Dove Valley Road alignment. From this point, the boundary proceeds west for approximately 1.7

miles to a point 1.2 miles west of the 51st Avenue alignment. The boundary turns and continues northeast for approximately 1.1 miles to a point 0.3 mile west of the 51st Avenue alignment and approximately 380 feet south of SR74. The boundary proceeds south for approximately 1,095 feet to a point 0.3 mile west of the 51st Avenue alignment and 3,805 feet north of the Dove Valley Road alignment. The boundary continues east for approximately 0.3 mile back to the starting point of the boundary.

The New Alignment proceeds east from the point of the in and out interconnection for TS22 for approximately 0.3 mile within the 3,900-foot corridor along the half section line of Section 8 of Township 5 North, Range 2 East G&SRB&M to a point 0.3 mile west of the 51st Avenue alignment.

The New Alignment continues east for approximately 2.15 miles within the 2,805-foot section of the corridor along the half section line of Sections 8, 9, and 10 of Township 5 North, Range 2 East G&SRB&M to a point at the 39th Avenue alignment.

From that point the New Alignment continues following the 39th Avenue alignment south within the 2,000-foot corridor for 0.5 mile to the Dove Valley Road alignment where the New Alignment ties back into the existing line.

Continuing from this point the route follows the 39th Avenue alignment south for 1.0 miles to the Lone Mountain Road alignment in a 2,000-foot corridor (1,000 feet east and 1,000 feet west) centered on the mid-section line designating 39th Avenue.

The New Alignment and TS22 Substation Siting Area are shown and described on Exhibit A to this Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that APS is specifically authorized to relocate the Affected

Line Segment to the New Alignment utilizing a 150-foot right-of-way.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the relocation and construction of the Affected Line Segment within the New Alignment shall be conditioned on compliance with the conditions set forth on Exhibit B (New Conditions).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that APS is specifically authorized to construct the TS22 Substation, within the TS22 Substation Siting Area as shown and described on Exhibit A.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the construction of the TS22 Substation shall be conditioned on compliance with the New Conditions.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the evidentiary record in Decision No. 65997 is amended to authorize APS to expand the size of the Avery Substation to approximately 64 acres within the Avery Substation Siting Area as shown and described on Exhibit A.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the construction of the Avery Substation shall be conditioned on compliance with the New Conditions.

DATED this 30 day of July, 2021.

THE ARIZONA POWER PLANT AND TRANSMISSION LINE SITING COMMITTEE

By:

Thomas K. Chenal, Chairman

1 ORDER 2 DECISION NO. 65997 AND DECISION NO. 69343 ARE INCORPORATED 3 HEREIN AND IS APPROVED AS MODIFIED BY THE ORDER OF THE 4 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 5 6 BY THE ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 7 8 9 CHAIRWOMAN MARQUEZ PETERSON COMMISSIONER KENNEDY 10 11 12 COMMISSIONER OLSON COMMISSIONER TOVAR COMMISSIONER O'CONNOR 13 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, MATTHEW J. 14 NEUBERT, Executive Director of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my 15 hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the 16 City of Phoenix, this ____ day of ____, 2021. 17 18 MATTHEW J. NEUBERT 19 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 20 21 DISSENT:____ 22 23 DISSENT: 24 25 26 27 28

EXHIBIT A To the Recommended Opinion and Order

Affected Line Segment Existing 500/230kV Alignment Biscuit Flats 500/230kV Line Relocation L-00000D-06-0635-00131 33RD DR -34TH-AVE -3VA-HT26 [] **EXHIBIT A** CAREFREE-HWY MILES 1516 000 HLDS 1516 000 H

L-000000D-02-0120

EXHIBIT B To the Recommended Opinion and Order

CONDITIONS

This Certificate for the Project Changes (hereinafter referred to as the "Project") is granted upon the following conditions:

- 1. This authorization to construct the Project shall expire ten (10) years from the date this Certificate is approved by the Commission, with or without modification. Construction of the Project shall be complete, such that the Project is in-service within this ten-year timeframe. However, prior to the expiration of the time period, APS may request that the Commission extend the time limitation.
- 2. In the event the Project requires an extension of the term(s) of this Certificate prior to completion of construction, APS shall file such time extension request at least one hundred eighty (180) days prior to the expiration date of the Certificate. APS shall use reasonable means to promptly notify the Board of Supervisors of Maricopa County, the City of Phoenix, Arizona State Land Department ("ASLD"), and all cities and towns within a five (5) mile radius of the centerline of the Project and all landowners and residents within a five (5)mile radius of the centerline of the Project, all persons who made public comment at this proceeding who provided a mailing or email address, and all parties to this proceeding. The notification provided will include the request and the date, time, and place of the hearing or open meeting during which the Commission will consider the request for extension. Notification shall be no more than three (3) business days after APS is made aware of the hearing date or the open meeting date.
- 3. During the development, construction, operation, maintenance, and reclamation of the Project, APS shall comply with all existing applicable air and water pollution control standards and regulations, and with all existing applicable statutes, ordinances, master plans, and regulations of any governmental entity having jurisdiction including, but not limited to, the

United States of America, the State of Arizona, Maricopa County, the City of Phoenix, ASLD and their agencies or subdivisions including, but not limited to, the following:

- a. All applicable land use regulations;
- All applicable zoning stipulations and conditions including, but not limited to, landscaping and dust control requirements;
- All applicable water use, discharge and/or disposal requirements of the Arizona
 Department of Water Resources and the Arizona Department of Environmental
 Quality;
- d. All applicable noise control standards; and
- e. All applicable regulations governing storage and handling of hazardous chemicals and petroleum products.
- 4. APS shall obtain all approvals and permits necessary to construct, operate and maintain the Project required by any governmental entity having jurisdiction including, but not limited to, the United States of America, the State, Maricopa County, the City of Phoenix, ASLD, and their agencies and subdivisions.
- 5. APS shall comply with the Arizona Game and Fish Department ("AGFD") guidelines for handling protected animal species, should any be encountered during construction and operation of the Project, and shall consult with AGFD as necessary on other issues concerning wildlife.
- 6. APS shall design the Project to incorporate reasonable measures to minimize electrocution of and impacts to avian species. Such measures will be accomplished through compliance with the Avian Power Line Interaction Committee guidelines set forth in the 2006 Electrocution Manual and the 2012 Collision Manual.
- 7. APS shall consult with the ASLD and the State Historical Preservation Office ("SHPO") with respect to cultural resources. If any archaeological, paleontological, or historical site or a significant object is discovered on state, county, or municipal land during the

construction or operation of the Project, APS or its representative in charge shall promptly report the discovery to the Director of the Arizona State Museum ("ASM"), and in consultation with the Director, shall immediately take all reasonable steps to secure and maintain the preservation of the discovery as required by A.R.S. § 41-844.

- 8. APS shall comply with the notice and salvage requirements of the Arizona Native Plant Law (A.R.S. §§ 3-901 *et seq.*) and shall, to the extent feasible, minimize the destruction of native plants during the construction and operation of the Project.
- 9. APS shall make every reasonable effort to promptly investigate, identify, and correct, on a case-specific basis, all complaints of interference with radio or television signals from operation of the Project addressed in this Certificate and where such interference is caused by the Project take reasonable measures to mitigate such interference. APS shall maintain written records for a period of five (5) years of all complaints of radio or television interference attributable to operations, together with the corrective action taken in response to each complaint. All complaints shall be recorded to include notations on the corrective action taken. Complaints not leading to a specific action or for which there was no resolution shall be noted and explained. Upon request, the written records shall be provided to the Staff of the Commission. APS shall respond to complaints and implement appropriate mitigation measures. In addition, the Project shall be evaluated on a regular basis so that damaged insulators or other line materials that could cause interference are repaired or replaced in a timely manner.
- 10. If human remains and/or funerary objects are encountered on private land during the course of any ground-disturbing activities related to the construction or maintenance of the Project, APS shall cease work on the affected area of the Project and notify the Director of the ASM as required by A.R.S. § 41-865.
- 11. Within one hundred twenty (120) days of the Commission's decision approving this Certificate, APS shall post signs in or near public rights-of-way, to the extent authorized by law,

along the route of the Project giving notice of the Project. Such signage shall be no smaller than a roadway sign. The signs shall advise:

- a. Future site of the Project;
- b. A phone number and website for public information regarding the Project; and
- c. Refer the Public to the Docket https://edocket.azcc.gov/Search/Docket-Search. Such signs shall be inspected at least once annually and, if necessary, be repaired or replaced, and removed at the completion of construction.
- 12. At least ninety (90) days before construction commences on the Project, APS shall provide cities and towns within five (5) miles of the Project, the Board of Supervisors for Maricopa County, and ASLD, and known builders and developers who are building upon or developing land within one (1) mile of the centerline of the Project with a written description, including height and width measurements of all structure types, of the Project. The written description shall identify the location of the Project and contain a pictorial depiction of the facilities being constructed. APS shall also encourage the developers and builders to include this information in their disclosure statements.
- 13. APS shall use non-specular conductors and non-reflective surfaces for the transmission line structures on the Project.
- 14. APS shall be responsible for arranging that all field personnel involved in the Project receive training as to proper ingress, egress, and on-site working protocol for environmentally sensitive areas and activities. Contractors employing such field personnel shall maintain records documenting that the personnel have received such training.
- 15. APS shall follow the most current Western Electricity Coordinating Council ("WECC") and North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") planning standards, as approved by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"), National Electrical Safety Code ("NESC") standards and Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") regulations.

- 16. APS shall participate in good faith in state and regional transmission study forums to coordinate transmission expansion plans related to the Project and to resolve transmission constraints in a timely manner.
- 17. When Project facilities are located parallel to and within one hundred (100) feet of any existing natural gas or hazardous liquid pipeline, APS shall:
 - a. Ensure grounding and cathodic protection studies are performed to show that the Project's location parallel to and within one hundred (100) feet of such pipeline results in no material adverse impacts to the pipeline or to public safety when both the pipeline and the Project are in operation. APS shall take appropriate steps to ensure that any material adverse impacts are mitigated. APS shall provide to Staff of the Commission, and file with Docket Control, a copy of the studies performed and additional mitigation, if any, that were implemented as part of its annual compliance-certification letter; and
 - b. Ensure that studies are performed simulating an outage of the Project that may be caused by the collocation of the Project parallel to and within one hundred (100) feet of the existing natural gas or hazardous liquid pipeline. The studies should either: i) show that such simulated outage does not result in customer outages, or ii) include operating plans to minimize any resulting customer outages. APS shall provide a copy of the study results to Staff of the Commission and file them with Docket Control as part of APS's annual compliance certification letter.
- 18. APS shall submit a compliance certification letter annually, identifying progress made with respect to each condition contained in this Certificate, including which conditions have been met. The letter shall be submitted to the Commission's Docket Control commencing December 1, 2021. Attached to each certification letter shall be documentation explaining how compliance with each condition was achieved. Copies of the letter, along with the corresponding

documentation, shall be submitted to the Arizona Attorney General's Office. With respect to the Project, the requirement for the compliance certification letter shall expire on the date the Project is placed into operation. Notification of such filing with Docket Control shall be made to the Board of Supervisors for Maricopa County, the City of Phoenix, ASLD, all parties to this Docket, and all parties who made a limited appearance in this Docket.

- APS shall provide a copy of this Certificate to the Board of Supervisors for Maricopa County, the City of Phoenix, and ASLD.
- 20. Any transfer or assignment of this Certificate shall require the assignee or successor to assume, in writing, all responsibilities of APS listed in this Certificate and its conditions as required by A.R.S. § 40-360.08(A) and R14-3-213(F) of the Arizona Administrative Code.
- 21. In the event APS, its assignee, or successor seeks to modify the Certificate terms at the Commission, it shall provide copies of such request to the Board of Supervisors for Maricopa County, the City of Phoenix, ASLD, all parties to this Docket, and all parties who made a limited appearance in this Docket.
- 22. The Certificate Conditions shall be binding on APS, its successors, assignee(s), and transferees and any affiliates, agents, or lessees of APS who have a contractual relationship with APS concerning the construction, operation, maintenance, or reclamation of the Project. APS shall provide in any agreement(s) or lease(s) pertaining to the Project that the contracting parties and/or lessee(s) shall be responsible for compliance with the Conditions set forth herein, and APS's responsibilities with respect to compliance with such Conditions shall not cease or be abated by reason of the fact that APS is not in control of or responsible for operation and maintenance of the Project facilities.