DATA VALIDATION REPORT

October 2017 Groundwater Sampling Event
Four Corners Power Plant, Arizona Public Service
Farmington, New Mexico

Prepared by:

Amec Foster Wheeler Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.
4600 East Washington Street, Suite 600
Phoenix, Arizona 85034-1917
(602) 733-6000

December 2017

Project No. 1420162024.2.2

Copyright © 2017 by Amec Foster Wheeler Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.
All rights reserved.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

ACRONYMS iii

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1

2.0 DATA VALIDATION METHODOLOGY 1

3.0 EXPLANATION OF DATA QUALITY INDICATORS 2
- 3.1 Laboratory Control Sample Recoveries 2
- 3.2 Matrix Spike Recoveries 2
- 3.3 Blank Concentrations 3
- 3.4 Laboratory Duplicates 3

4.0 DEFINITIONS OF QUALIFIERS THAT MAY BE ADDED DURING DATA VALIDATION 3

5.0 QUALIFICATION REASON CODES 4

6.0 CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND SAMPLE RECEIPT CONDITION DOCUMENTATION 4

7.0 SPECIFIC DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS 4
- 7.1 Metals By EPA Methods 200.7, 200.8, and 245.1 4
  - 7.1.1 Holding Times 4
  - 7.1.2 Laboratory Blanks 5
  - 7.1.3 Laboratory Control Sample Accuracy and Precision 5
  - 7.1.4 Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates 5
  - 7.1.5 Analytical Sensitivity 5
  - 7.1.6 Data Reporting and Analytical Procedures 6
- 7.2 Anions by EPA Method 300.0 6
  - 7.2.1 Holding Times 6
  - 7.2.2 Laboratory Blanks 6
  - 7.2.3 Laboratory Control Samples 7
  - 7.2.4 Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates 7
  - 7.2.5 Laboratory Duplicates 7
  - 7.2.6 Analytical Sensitivity 7
  - 7.2.7 Data Reporting and Analytical Procedures 7
- 7.3 Total Dissolved Solids by SM 2540C 7
  - 7.3.1 Holding Times 7
  - 7.3.2 Laboratory Blanks 8
  - 7.3.3 Laboratory Control Sample Accuracy and Precision 8
  - 7.3.4 Laboratory Duplicates 8
  - 7.3.5 Data Reporting and Analytical Procedures 8
- 7.4 Alkalinity by SM 2320B 8
  - 7.4.1 Holding Times 8
  - 7.4.2 Laboratory Blanks 8
  - 7.4.3 Laboratory Control Sample Accuracy 8
  - 7.4.4 Laboratory Duplicates 8
  - 7.4.5 Data Reporting and Analytical Procedures 8
- 7.5 pH by SM 4500B 9
  - 7.5.1 Holding Times 9
  - 7.5.2 Laboratory Control Sample Accuracy 9
  - 7.5.3 Laboratory Duplicates 9
  - 7.5.4 Data Reporting and Analytical Procedures 9

7.6 Radiochemical Analyses....9
7.6.1 Analytical Sensitivity....9
8.0 FIELD DUPLICATES....9
9.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS....10
REFERENCES....11
LIMITATIONS....12

TABLES

Table 1 Field Samples Submitted to TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

Table 2 Field Duplicate Detections

Table 3 Qualifiers Added During Data Validation

ACRONYMS

%	percent
Amec Foster Wheeler	Amec Foster Wheeler Environment & Infrastructure, Inc.
APS	Arizona Public Service
CCR	coal combustion residual
CLP	Contract Laboratory Program
COC	chain of custody
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
ID	identification
LCS	laboratory control sample
LCSD	laboratory control sample
MCL	maximum contaminant level
mg/L	milligrams per liter
MS	matrix spike
MSD	matrix spike duplicate
QC	quality control
RL	reporting limit
RPD	relative percent difference
SAP	sampling and analysis plan
SDG	sample delivery group
SM	Standard Method
TestAmerica	TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.
TDS	total dissolved solids

DATA VALIDATION REPORT
Four Corners Power Plant, Arizona Public Service
Farmington, New Mexico

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Arizona Public Service (APS) collected 20 water samples, including two field duplicates, between October 11 and 13, 2017, from the Four Corners Power Plant, located in Farmington, New Mexico. APS submitted the samples to TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc. (TestAmerica) in Phoenix, Arizona. The samples were assigned to sample delivery groups (SDGs) J91513-1, J91513-2, and J91513-3. TestAmerica analyzed the samples for total metals and mercury by United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Methods 200.7, 200.8, and 245.1; anions by EPA Method 300.0; total dissolved solids (TDS) by Standard Method (SM) 2540C; alkalinity by SM 2320B; and pH by SM 4500B. TestAmerica subcontracted the samples to Radiation Safety Engineering, Inc., where they were analyzed for radium 226/228 using GammaRay HPGE. A list of these samples by field sample identification (ID), sample collection date, and the laboratory sample IDs is presented in Table 1.

2.0 DATA VALIDATION METHODOLOGY

Amec Foster Wheeler Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. (Amec Foster Wheeler) performed an EPA Stage 2A validation on these samples, which is equivalent to a Level I data evaluation as defined in the project sampling and analysis plan (SAP). The Stage 2A validation includes review of the quality control (QC) results in the laboratory's analytical report and does not include review or validation of the raw analytical data. This data validation has been performed in general accordance with:

- AECOM, Inc., 2015. Final Sampling and Analysis Plan Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) Groundwater Monitoring, Four Corners Power Plant, Arizona Public Service, Farmington, New Mexico, December 2015.
- EPA, 2004. SW 846 Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, Update IIIB.
- EPA, 2017. EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Data Review, EPA 540-R-2017-001.

The CLP guidelines were written specifically for the CLP, and have been modified for the purposes of this data review where they differ from method-specific QC requirements.

The laboratory's certified analytical report and supporting documentation were reviewed to assess the following:

- Data package and electronic data deliverable completeness;
- Chain of custody (COC) compliance;
- Holding time compliance;
- Presence or absence of laboratory contamination as demonstrated by laboratory blanks;
- Accuracy and bias as demonstrated by recovery of laboratory control sample (LCS) and matrix spike (MS) samples;
- Analytical precision as relative percent difference (RPD) of analyte concentration between laboratory duplicates, LCS/LCS duplicates (LCSDs), or MSs/MS duplicates (MSDs);
- Insofar as possible, the degree of conformance to method requirements and good laboratory practices.

In general, it is important to recognize that no analytical data are guaranteed to be correct, even if all QC audits are passed. Strict QC serves to increase confidence in data, but any reported value may potentially contain error.

3.0 EXPLANATION OF DATA QUALITY INDICATORS

Summary explanations of the specific data quality indicators reviewed during data validation are presented below.

3.1 LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE RECOVERIES

LCSs are aliquots of analyte free matrices that are spiked with the analytes of interest for an analytical method, or a representative subset of those analytes. The spiked matrix is then processed through the same analytical procedures as the samples it accompanies. LCS recovery is an indication of a laboratory’s ability to successfully perform an analytical method in an interference free matrix.

3.2 MATRIX SPIKE RECOVERIES

MSs and MSDs are prepared by adding known amounts of the analytes of interest for an analytical method, or a representative subset of those analytes, to an aliquot of sample. The spiked sample is then processed through the same extraction, concentration, cleanup, and analytical procedures as the unspiked samples in an analytical batch.

MS recovery and precision are an indication of a laboratory's ability to successfully recover an analyte in the matrix of a specific sample or closely related sample matrices. It is important not to apply MS results for any specific sample to other samples without understanding how the sample matrices are related.

3.3 BLANK CONCENTRATIONS

Blank samples are aliquots of analyte free matrix that are used as negative controls to verify that the sample collection, storage, preparation, and analysis system does not produce false positive results.

Laboratory blanks are processed by the laboratory using exactly the same procedures as the field samples. Target analytes should not be found in laboratory blanks.

When target analytes are detected in blanks, analyte concentrations in associated samples less than five times the concentration detected in the blank will be U qualified as being not detected.

3.4 LABORATORY DUPLICATES

Laboratory duplicate analysis verifies acceptable method precision by the laboratory at the time of preparation and analysis and/or sampling precision at the time of collection.

4.0 DEFINITIONS OF QUALIFIERS THAT MAY BE ADDED DURING DATA VALIDATION

J The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.

R The sample result is rejected due to serious deficiencies in the ability to analyze the sample and meet quality control criteria. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be verified.

U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit.

UJ The analyte was not detected above the reported sample quantitation limit. However, the reported quantitation limit is approximate and may or may not represent the actual limit of quantitation necessary to accurately and precisely measure the analyte in the sample.

5.0 QUALIFICATION REASON CODES

The following reason codes were applied to the data during data validation:

HT Holding time exceeded.

HM High matrix spike recovery, potential high analytical bias.

NS Sensitivity criteria not met; the analyte was not detected and the reporting limit (RL) is above the applicable National Primary Drinking Water Regulation Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL).

6.0 CHAIN OF CUSTODY AND SAMPLE RECEIPT CONDITION DOCUMENTATION

The samples were received at the laboratories under proper COC, intact, properly preserved, and at temperatures less than the SAP-specified maximum of 6 degrees Celsius, with the following exception:

The name of the field sampler was missing from the COCs submitted with the samples covered by this report. Data usability is not adversely affected; however, it is best practice to fully record all requested information on the COC.

7.0 SPECIFIC DATA VALIDATION FINDINGS

Results from these samples may be considered usable with the limitations and exceptions described in Sections 7.1 through 8.0.

7.1 METALS BY EPA METHODS 200.7, 200.8, AND 245.1

Total metals and mercury results generated by TestAmerica may be considered usable with the limitations described in Sections 7.1.1 through 7.1.6.

7.1.1 Holding Times

All samples were analyzed for metals within the SAP-specified holding time of 28 days for mercury and 180 days for the additional metals.

7.1.2 Laboratory Blanks

Target analytes were not detected in the laboratory blanks associated with the analysis of these samples with the following exception:

- Beryllium was detected at a concentration of 0.00103 milligrams per liter (mg/L) associated with the analysis of samples FC-CCR-MW49A-101217, FC-CCR-MW66-101317, FC-CCR-MW67-101317, FC-CCR-MW68-101317, FC-CCR-MW69-101317, FC-CCR-MW70-101317, FC-CCR-MW71-101317, and FC-CCR-MW74-101117. Beryllium was not detected in these samples and data usability is not adversely affected by the blank detection.

7.1.3 Laboratory Control Sample Accuracy and Precision

LCS and LCSD recoveries were within the SAP-specified 80 to 120 percent (%) limits and RPDs between the LCS and LCSD results were less than the laboratory-specified maximum of 20%.

7.1.4 Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates

TestAmerica performed MS and MSD analysis on project sample FC-CCR-MW7-101217 for antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, boron, cadmium, calcium, chromium, cobalt, lead, lithium, magnesium, mercury, molybdenum, potassium, selenium, sodium and thallium; and FC-CCR-MW61-101217 for arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, lead, molybdenum, and thallium. MS/MSD recoveries were within laboratory-specified limits, and RPDs between MS and MSD results were less than the laboratory-specified maximum of 20%, with the following exceptions:

- Calcium (11% MS), magnesium (-46%, 1%), selenium (142%, 133%), and sodium (-238%, -222%) recoveries were outside of laboratory limits in the MS and/or MSD performed on sample FC-CCR-MW7-101217. Data limitations are summarized below.
  - Amec Foster Wheeler J qualified the detected selenium result from sample FC-CCR-MW7-101217 due to potential high analytical bias. (J-HM)
  - Calcium, magnesium, and sodium were detected in the unspiked sample at concentrations greater than four times the spike concentrations. Per CLP guidelines, data usability cannot be evaluated based on the MS/MSD performance of these analytes in this sample.

7.1.5 Analytical Sensitivity

Amec Foster Wheeler compared RLs for antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, cadmium, chromium, selenium, thallium, lead and mercury against applicable MCLs to confirm that the RLs were sufficiently low to meet the MCLs. Non-detect results were reported at RLs less than the applicable MCLs with the following exceptions:

- Antimony results from samples FC-CCR-MW70-101317, FC-CCR-MW71-101317, FC-CCR-MW72-101317, FC-CCR-MW73-101217, FC-CCR-FD01-101217, FC-CCR-MW74-101117, and FC-CCR-MW75-101217 were reported at an RL of 0.01 mg/L, which is greater than the MCL of 0.006 mg/L. Amec Foster Wheeler UJ qualified the non-detect antimony results from these samples because the project-required sensitivity requirements were not met. (UJ-NS)

Boron, calcium, cobalt, lithium, magnesium, molybdenum, potassium, and sodium are not EPA-regulated analytes in groundwater and it is not possible to evaluate the RLs for these analytes against the National Primary Drinking Water Regulation MCLs.

7.1.6 Data Reporting and Analytical Procedures

There were no data reporting or analytical issues with the data groups associated with this validation, with the following exception:

- According to the laboratory, beryllium was detected in the calibration blank associated with the analysis of samples FC-CCR-MW7-101217, FC-CCR-MW49A-101217, FC-CCR-MW60-101217, FC-CCR-MW61-101217, FC-CCR-MW62-101317, FC-CCR-MW63-101317, FC-CCR-MW64-101317, FC-CCR-MW65-101317, FC-CCR-FD02-101317, FC-CCR-MW66-101317, FC-CCR-MW67-101317, FC-CCR-MW68-101317, FC-CCR-MW69-101317, FC-CCR-MW70-101317, FC-CCR-MW71-101317, FC-CCR-MW72-101317, FC-CCR-MW73-101217, FC-CCR-FD01-101217, FC-CCR-MW74-101117, and FC-CCR-MW75-101217 at a concentration equal to or greater than the RL. Beryllium was not detected in these samples and data usability is not adversely affected.

7.2 ANIONS BY EPA METHOD 300.0

Fluoride, chloride, and sulfate results generated by TestAmerica may be considered fully usable without limitation.

7.2.1 Holding Times

Samples were analyzed for anions within the SAP-specified holding time of 28 days.

7.2.2 Laboratory Blanks

Fluoride, chloride, and sulfate were not detected in the laboratory blanks associated with the analysis of these samples.

7.2.3 Laboratory Control Samples

LCS and LCSD recoveries were within the laboratory-specified 90 to 110% limits and RPDs between the LCS and LCSD results were less than the laboratory-specified maximum values.

7.2.4 Matrix Spikes/Matrix Spike Duplicates

TestAmerica performed MS and MSD analyses on samples FC-CCR-MW7-101217 for chloride, fluoride and sulfate. Recoveries were within the laboratory-specified 80 to 120% limits, and RPDs between MS and MSD results were less than 20%, with the following exception:

- Fluoride recovery was low at 0.09% in the MSD performed on sample FC-CCR-MW7-101217. According to the laboratory, the spike was inadvertently not added to this MSD sample, causing the low recovery. LCS/LCSD recoveries were within specified limits and data usability is not adversely affected by the lack of valid MSD data.

7.2.5 Laboratory Duplicates

TestAmerica did not perform duplicate analyses of any of the samples covered in this report.

7.2.6 Analytical Sensitivity

Amec Foster Wheeler compared RLs for fluoride against the MCL of 4 mg/L, and confirmed that the RLs were sufficiently low to meet the MCL.

Chloride and sulfate are not EPA-regulated analytes in groundwater and it is not possible to evaluate the RLs for these analytes against the Primary Drinking Water Regulation MCLs.

7.2.7 Data Reporting and Analytical Procedures

There were no data anomalies associating with the anion analyses of these samples.

TestAmerica reported fluoride results in SDGs J91513-1 and J91513-2. The samples were analyzed for fluoride once and the same fluoride results are reported in both SDGs.

7.3 TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS BY SM 2540C

TDS results generated by TestAmerica may be considered fully usable without qualification.

7.3.1 Holding Times

All samples were analyzed for TDS within the SAP-specified holding time of 7 days.

7.3.2 Laboratory Blanks

TDS was not detected in the laboratory blanks at concentrations above the reporting limit.

7.3.3 Laboratory Control Sample Accuracy and Precision

LCS and LCSD recoveries were within the laboratory-specified 90 to 110% limits and RPDs between the LCS and LCSD results were less than the laboratory-specified maximum of 10%.

7.3.4 Laboratory Duplicates

TestAmerica performed duplicate analysis for TDS on samples FC-CCR-FD02-101317 and FC-CCR-MW7-101217. RPDs between primary sample and laboratory duplicate results were less than the laboratory-specified 10% limit.

7.3.5 Data Reporting and Analytical Procedures

There were no data anomalies associated with TDS analysis of these samples..

7.4 ALKALINITY BY SM 2320B

Alkalinity results generated by TestAmerica may be considered fully usable without limitation.

7.4.1 Holding Times

All samples were analyzed for alkalinity within the SAP specified holding time of 14 days.

7.4.2 Laboratory Blanks

Alkalinity was not detected in the laboratory blanks at concentrations above the reporting limit.

7.4.3 Laboratory Control Sample Accuracy

LCS and LCSD recoveries were within the laboratory-specified 90 to 110% limits and RPDs between the LCS and LCSD results were less than the laboratory-specified maximum of 20%.

7.4.4 Laboratory Duplicates

TestAmerica performed duplicate analysis for alkalinity on samples FC-CCR-MW7-101217, FC-CCR-MW62-101317, and FC-CCR-MW69-101317. RPDs between primary sample and laboratory duplicate results were less than the laboratory-specified 20% limit.

7.4.5 Data Reporting and Analytical Procedures

There were no data anomalies associated with the alkalinity analysis of these samples.

7.5 pH BY SM 4500B

pH results generated by TestAmerica may be considered usable with the limitations described in Sections 7.5.1 through 7.5.4.

7.5.1 Holding Times

All samples were analyzed for pH after the method-specified 15 minute hold time had passed. Amec Foster Wheeler J qualified the pH results from these samples because of the missed hold time. (J-HT)

7.5.2 Laboratory Control Sample Accuracy

LCS recovery was within the laboratory-specified 98.5 to 101.5% limits.

7.5.3 Laboratory Duplicates

TestAmerica performed duplicate analysis for pH on samples FC-CCR-MW7-101217, FC-CCR-MW73-101217, FC CCR MW75-101217, and FC-CCR-FD02-101317. RPDs between primary sample and laboratory duplicate results were less than the laboratory-specified 5% limit.

7.5.4 Data Reporting and Analytical Procedures

There were no data anomalies associated with the pH analysis of these samples.

7.6 RADIOCHEMICAL ANALYSES

It was not possible to evaluate data usability for the radiochemical analyses due to a lack of reported laboratory QC.

7.6.1 Analytical Sensitivity

Amec Foster Wheeler compared RLs for total radium analysis against the MCL to confirm that the RLs were sufficiently low to meet the MCL. Non-detect results were reported to RLs less than the applicable MCL.

8.0 FIELD DUPLICATES

APS collected samples FC-CCR-FD01-101217 and FC-CCR-FD02-101317 as field duplicates of FC-CCR-MW73-101217 and FC-CCR-MW65-101317, respectively. Target analyte detections are summarized in Table 2B. Precision values were less than the SAP-specified maximum of 20%, or the differences between the detected concentrations were less than the RLs.

9.0 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Amec Foster Wheeler reviewed 560 data records from field samples during this validation. All of the data generated are usable and of acceptable quality with the addition of qualifiers presented in Table 3. Qualifier definitions are summarized in Section 4.0, reason codes are summarized in Section 5.0, and qualified data are summarized below.

- Amec Foster Wheeler J or UJ qualified 28 records (5.0%) as being estimated concentrations due to hold time exceedances, high matrix spike recovery, or insufficient analytical sensitivity.

The data should be considered valid with the addition of the qualifiers presented in Table 3.

REFERENCES

AECOM, 2015. Sampling and Analysis Plan CCR Groundwater Monitoring Four Corners Power Plant Arizona Public Service, Farmington, New Mexico, December 2015.

EPA, 2017. EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Superfund Data Review, EPA 540-R-2017-001.

EPA, 2004. SW 846 Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, Update IIIB.

LIMITATIONS

This report was prepared exclusively for Arizona Public Service by Amec Foster Wheeler Environment & Infrastructure, Inc. The quality of information, conclusions, and estimates contained herein is consistent with the level of effort involved in Amec Foster Wheeler services and based on: i) information available at the time of preparation, ii) data supplied by outside sources, and iii) the assumptions, conditions, and qualifications set forth in this report. This data validation report is intended to be used by Arizona Public Service, Four Corners Power Plant site only, subject to the terms and conditions of its contract with Amec Foster Wheeler. Any other use of, or reliance on, this report by any third party is at that party's sole risk.

TABLES

TABLE 1
Field Samples Submitted to Test America Laboratories, Inc.
Four Corners Power Plant, Arizona Public Service
Farmington, New Mexico
October 2017 Data

Field Sample ID	Collection Date	Test America Sample ID	Notes
FC-CCR-MW7-101217	10/12/2017	550-91513-1	
FC-CCR-MW49A-101217	10/12/2017	550-91513-2	
FC-CCR-MW60-101217	10/12/2017	550-91513-3	
FC-CCR-MW61-101217	10/12/2017	550-91513-4	
FC-CCR-MW62-101317	10/13/2017	550-91513-5	
FC-CCR-MW63-101317	10/13/2017	550-91513-6	
FC-CCR-MW64-101317	10/13/2017	550-91513-7	
FC-CCR-MW65-101317	10/13/2017	550-91513-8	
FC-CCR-FD02-101317	10/13/2017	550-91513-9	Field duplicate of FC-CCR-MW65-101317
FC-CCR-MW66-101317	10/13/2017	550-91513-10	
FC-CCR-MW67-101317	10/13/2017	550-91513-11	
FC-CCR-MW68-101317	10/13/2017	550-91513-12	
FC-CCR-MW69-101317	10/13/2017	550-91513-13	
FC-CCR-MW70-101317	10/13/2017	550-91513-14	
FC-CCR-MW71-101317	10/13/2017	550-91513-15	
FC-CCR-MW72-101317	10/13/2017	550-91513-16	
FC-CCR-MW73-101217	10/12/2017	550-91513-17	
FC-CCR-FD01-101217	10/12/2017	550-91513-18	Field duplicate of FC-CCR-MW73-101217
FC-CCR-MW74-101117	10/11/2017	550-91513-19	
FC-CCR-MW75-101217	10/12/2017	550-91513-20	

Notes:
ID = identification

TABLE 2
Field Duplicate Detections
Four Corners Power Plant, Arizona Public Service
Farmington, New Mexico
October 2017 Data

Method	Analyte	RL	Primary Sample Result	Field Duplicate Result	RPD	Notes
Samples FC-CCR-MW65-101317 and FC-CCR-FD02-101317						
EPA 300.0	Chloride	2 mg/L	52	52	0.0%	
EPA 300.0	Fluoride	0.40 mg/L	1.9	1.9	0.0%	
EPA 300.0	Sulfate	40 mg/L	400	400	0.0%	
EPA 200.7	Boron	0.05 mg/L	0.75	0.76	1.3%	
EPA 200.7	Calcium	2.0 mg/L	92	93	1.1%	
EPA 200.7	Magnesium	2.0 mg/L	54	55	1.8%	
EPA 200.7	Potassium	0.50 mg/L	3.6	3.5	2.8%	
EPA 200.7	Sodium	0.50 mg/L	130	140	7.4%	
EPA 200.8	Barium	0.00050 mg/L	0.013	0.013	0.0%	
EPA 200.8	Cobalt	0.00050 mg/L	0.0011	0.0012	8.7%	
EPA 200.8	Molybdenum	0.00050 mg/L	0.0093	0.0094	1.1%	
SM 2320B	Alkalinity as CaCO3	6.0 mg/L	330	330	0.0%	
SM 2320B	Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	6.0 mg/L	330	330	0.0%	
SM 2540C	Total Dissolved Solids	20 mg/L	960	980	2.1%	
SM 4500	pH	1.7 SU	7.6 J	7.6 J	0.0%	
Samples FC-CCR-MW73-101217 and FC-CCR-FD01-101217						
EPA 300.0	Chloride	40.0 mg/L	310	300	3%	
EPA 300.0	Sulfate	400 mg/L	3900	3700	5.3%	
EPA 200.7	Boron	0.050 mg/L	2.0	2.0	0.0%	
EPA 200.7	Calcium	2.0 mg/L	510	510	0.0%	
EPA 200.7	Magnesium	2.0 mg/L	440	430	2.3%	
EPA 200.7	Potassium	0.50 mg/L	20	20	0.0%	
EPA 200.7	Sodium	0.50 mg/L	600	590	1.7%	
EPA 200.8	Barium	0.0050 mg/L	0.024	0.024	0.0%	
EPA 200.8	Selenium	0.0050 mg/L	0.048	0.047	2.1%	
SM 2320B	Alkalinity as CaCO3	6.0 mg/L	480	480	0.0%	
SM 2320B	Bicarbonate Alkalinity as CaCO3	6.0 mg/L	480	480	0.0%	
SM 2540C	Total Dissolved Solids	100 mg/L	6600	6600	0.0%	
SM 4500	pH	1.7 SU	7.3 J	7.1 J	2.8%	

Notes:
SU=standard units (for pH)
mg/L = milligrams per liter
RL = reporting limit
RPD = relative percent difference

Methods:
EPA 300.0 = Ions
EPA 200.7 = Metals
EPA 200.8 = Low Level Metals
SM2540C = Total Dissolved Solids
SM4500 = pH

TABLE 3
Qualifiers Added During Data Validation
Four Corners Power Plant, Arizona Public Service
Farmington, New Mexico
October 2017 Data

Sample IDs	Methods	SDG	Analytes	Concentrations	Qualifiers and Reason Codes
FC-CCR-MW7-101217	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.6 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW49A-101217	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.4 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW60-101217	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.5 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW61-101217	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	8.6 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW62-101317	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	6.8 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW63-101317	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.1 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW64-101317	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.7 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW65-101317	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.6 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-FD02-101317	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.6 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW66-101317	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.3 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW67-101317	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.1 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW68-101317	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.1 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW69-101317	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.5 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW70-101317	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.1 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW71-101317	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.2 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW72-101317	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.2 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW73-101217	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.3 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-FD01-101217	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.1 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW74-101117	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	7.8 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW75-101217	SM4500	J91513-1	pH	8.4 SU	J HT
FC-CCR-MW7-101217	EPA 200.8	J91513-2	Selenium	0.015 mg/L	J HM
FC-CCR-MW70-101317	EPA 200.8	J91513-2	Antimony	0.010 mg/L	UJ NS
FC-CCR-MW71-101317	EPA 200.8	J91513-2	Antimony	0.010 mg/L	UJ NS
FC-CCR-MW72-101317	EPA 200.8	J91513-2	Antimony	0.010 mg/L	UJ NS
FC-CCR-MW73-101217	EPA 200.8	J91513-2	Antimony	0.010 mg/L	UJ NS
FC-CCR-FD01-101217	EPA 200.8	J91513-2	Antimony	0.010 mg/L	UJ NS
FC-CCR-MW74-101117	EPA 200.8	J91513-2	Antimony	0.010 mg/L	UJ NS
FC-CCR-MW75-101217	EPA 200.8	J91513-2	Antimony	0.010 mg/L	UJ NS

Notes:

ID = identification

mg/L = milligrams per liter

SDG = sample delivery group

SU = standard units of pH

Methods:

EPA 200.8 = Low Level Metals

SM4500 = pH

Qualifier Definitions:

J = The analyte was positively identified; the associated numerical value is approximate.

UJ = The analyte was not detected above the RL. However, the RL is approximate.

Reason Codes:

HT = Holding time exceeded

HM = High matrix spike recovery

NS=Sensitivity criteria not met; the reporting limit is above the applicable National Primary Drinking Water Regulation MaximumContaminant Level