

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA POWER PLANT LS-280

2 AND TRANSMISSION LINE SITING COMMITTEE

3

4 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION ) DOCKET NO.  
 OF ARIZONA PUBLIC SERVICE ) L-00000D-23-0011-  
 5 COMPANY, IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE ) 00214  
 REQUIREMENTS OF ARIZONA REVISED )  
 6 STATUTES 40-360, ET SEQ., FOR A ) LS CASE NO. 214  
 CERTIFICATE OF ENVIRONMENTAL )  
 7 COMPATIBILITY AUTHORIZING )  
 CONSTRUCTION OF AN APPROXIMATELY )  
 8 0.6 MILE 500 KILOVOLT (KV) )  
 INTERCONNECTION TRANSMISSION )  
 9 LINE AND AMENDING CEC 135 TO )  
 AUTHORIZE USE OF A NEW )  
 10 TRANSMISSION STRUCTURE TYPE THAT )  
 WILL CONNECT THE PROVING GROUND )  
 11 SOLAR+STORAGE PROJECT TO THE )  
 HOODOO WASH SWITCHYARD LOCATED )  
 12 NEAR DATELAND, ARIZONA WITHIN )  
 UNINCORPORATED YUMA COUNTY, )  
 13 ARIZONA. ) PREHEARING  
 ) CONFERENCE

---

14

15

16 At: Phoenix, Arizona

17 Date: February 7, 2023

18 Filed: February 10, 2023

19

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

20

21

22 GLENNIE REPORTING SERVICES, LLC  
 Court Reporting, Video & Videoconferencing  
 23 1555 East Orangewood Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85020  
 602.266.6535 admin@glennie-reporting.com

24

25 By: MICHELE E. BALMER, RPR  
 Arizona CR No. 50489

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

INDEX TO EXHIBITS MARKED

NO.	DESCRIPTION	IDENTIFIED
PH Exhibit 1	Summary of Public Outreach Efforts	12
PH Exhibit 2	Line Siting Committee Route Tour Itinerary	12
PH Exhibit 3	Arizona Public Service Company's Notice of Filing Witness Summaries and Proposed Certificate of Environmental Compatibility	12

1 BE IT REMEMBERED that the above-entitled and  
2 numbered matter came on regularly to be heard before the  
3 Arizona Power Plant and Transmission Line Siting  
4 Committee, commencing at 10:01 a.m. on the 7th day of  
5 February, 2023, with all participants appearing via  
6 videoconference.

7

8 BEFORE: PAUL A. KATZ, Chairman

9

10 APPEARANCES:

11 For the Applicant:

12 SNELL & WILMER  
13 By: Matt Derstine  
14 One East Washington Street #2700  
15 Phoenix, Arizona 84004

16 - and \_

17 PINNACLE WEST CAPITAL CORPORATION  
18 By: Linda J. Benally, Senior Attorney  
19 400 North 5th Street, MS 8695  
20 Phoenix, Arizona 85004

21

22 ALSO PRESENT:

23 Jason Spitzkoff, Arizona Public Service Company  
24 Garrett Lehman, Strata Solar  
25 Collin Ramsey, Strata Solar  
26 Dean Hazle, SWCA Environmental Consultants  
27 Jill Grams, SWCA Environmental Consultants  
28 Gourjia Odisho, Paralegal for Matt Derstine  
29 Lisa Glennie, Glennie Reporting Services  
30 Adam Stafford

31

1 CHAIRMAN KATZ: I think that all of our  
2 participant names are available. If we haven't been on  
3 the record, we will formally go on.

4 And this is the time we have set for a  
5 Prehearing Conference for CEC 214, the APS or Strata  
6 Proving Ground 500-kilovolt interconnection project.

7 And I would ask the attorneys who I have been  
8 speaking with, if they would, to formally identify  
9 themselves for the record.

10 MR. DERSTINE: Yes. Thank you. Matt Derstine,  
11 Snell & Wilmer, appearing on behalf of Arizona Public  
12 Service Company.

13 CHAIRMAN KATZ: And I do believe Linda Benally  
14 who is staff attorney or corporate counsel is also  
15 present.

16 And I did indicate before we went on the record  
17 that Adam Stafford is going to be the new chairman of  
18 the line siting committee and will begin with the  
19 hearing on February 27th, and I'm working with him to  
20 get him oriented.

21 And, fortunately, he's attended two of these  
22 hearings, so at least he has his foot in the door. And  
23 I don't think he'll be ready to retire in the next year  
24 or two, so he'll probably at least have some longevity  
25 with the current chairman.

1           And the AG may designate a second chairperson  
2 in the event Mr. Stafford has other obligations in our  
3 office that he might have a conflict with, but he will  
4 be the primary person, at least that's my understanding.

5           And I think all of the participants' names are  
6 readable, but I see a "GLEhman." Would you state your  
7 name, sir?

8           MR. LEHMAN: Yes. Sorry. It's Garrett Lehman  
9 with Strata.

10          CHAIRMAN KATZ: Okay. And I don't think we  
11 need anybody else to state their name. If you do --

12          MS. BENALLY: Mr. Chairman?

13          CHAIRMAN KATZ: Yes, Ms. Benally.

14          MS. BENALLY: Good morning. I'm just checking  
15 in here because I had audio issues when we started. So  
16 I am here on behalf of Arizona Public Service Company,  
17 although Mr. Derstine, co-counsel, will be doing the  
18 majority of the speaking today. Thank you.

19          CHAIRMAN KATZ: That's fine. And I initially  
20 couldn't get on into the meeting. So we're all here and  
21 ready to go.

22          And the hearing is going to be at the Yuma Four  
23 Points Sheraton; is that correct, Mr. Derstine?

24          MR. DERSTINE: That's correct, Chairman.

25          CHAIRMAN KATZ: And as far as I'm aware, there

1 are no requests to intervene; is that correct?

2 MR. DERSTINE: Also correct.

3 CHAIRMAN KATZ: And the committee has until  
4 July 4, 2023, in which to make its decision and comply  
5 with A.R.S. Section 40-360.04.D, as in David, but we  
6 will probably deliberate on either Tuesday or Wednesday,  
7 whenever all of the evidence is in.

8 And I'm expecting that this hearing -- it  
9 starts on February 13th. How long do you think the  
10 hearing will likely take, Mr. Derstine?

11 MR. DERSTINE: Mr. Chairman, I think based on  
12 the size of this project and some of the prior shorter  
13 gen-tie cases that I have handled and that I have  
14 witnessed, I'm going to anticipate that we'll finish  
15 presenting evidence either on Day 1 prior to public  
16 comment or, if there's some additional evidence that  
17 will be presented to the committee, on the morning of  
18 Day 2, and that you would be free to start deliberations  
19 on Day 2 of the hearing on the 14th.

20 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Let me just ask you, we just  
21 had a big tour on our prior project about 10 days ago.  
22 Do you think that there's anything useful in taking a  
23 tour of this line? I noticed that in your proposed tour  
24 statement, it looks like, basically, there would be two  
25 stops, but it would still take almost three hours.

1 Do you think that the committee is going to  
2 benefit particularly with probably half of its  
3 membership appearing virtually?

4 MR. DERSTINE: I know that you took a tour on  
5 the last hearing that you had in Yuma. I think that's a  
6 very different project from this one.

7 I think that, you know, it's always within the  
8 committee's discretion as to whether they want to  
9 conduct a tour, but I think that we, through our virtual  
10 flyover and use of Google Earth, can give the committee  
11 a very good understanding of the surrounding area.

12 There are no residential developments within  
13 any proximity to this project. It is approximately 50  
14 to 60 miles east of Yuma; therefore, that's why it will  
15 take so long for the tour. It's a two-stop tour, but  
16 it's going to take two hours to get there and to get  
17 back.

18 And again, I don't think there's a lot to see  
19 out there other than the switchyard and then for us to  
20 point to where the gen-tie will be constructed and where  
21 it would originate. And I think we can do that through  
22 the virtual flyover and, if you would like, the use of  
23 Google Earth.

24 CHAIRMAN KATZ: That's fine. I will probably  
25 recommend against a tour, but I'll probably not come out

1 real strongly one way or the other. But I'll probably  
2 ask you, as I have now, to advise the committee as to  
3 whether or not you think it would be useful.

4 I know that Member Palmer generally likes  
5 tours, but I'm going to -- straightforward lines that  
6 are in the middle of the desert or away from residences,  
7 it's not usually a necessity.

8 Let me just ask. Has the Applicant complied  
9 with A.R.S. Section 40-360.04.A, and that is getting  
10 notice to the affected jurisdictions as required by the  
11 procedural order?

12 And I understand that those jurisdictions are  
13 Yuma County, the Arizona State Land Department, and the  
14 Bureau of Land Management; is that correct?

15 MR. DERSTINE: Those are the parties that we  
16 noticed, Mr. Chairman. I think we oftentimes err on the  
17 side of overinclusiveness in that Yuma County is really  
18 the only actual jurisdiction for this project, but we've  
19 included the Bureau of Land Management and ASLD, those  
20 two, one a federal agency and one a state agency, just  
21 because of the proximity of the project to the -- the  
22 gen-tie line does cross and is on a portion of BLM land  
23 and then ASLD is close by.

24 We did encounter a problem, I think as you  
25 know, that the Docket Control at the Commission always



1 undertakes to mail out the notices to affected  
2 jurisdictions by certified mail. In this case, it turns  
3 out they did not do that.

4           Upon learning of that, we immediately sent out  
5 e-mails and have FedEx'd notices to the affected  
6 jurisdictions on the list that we just mentioned. We  
7 have confirmation from Yuma County that they received  
8 the notice. I anticipate receiving confirmations from  
9 BLM and ASLD concerning receipt of the notice.

10           But for that reason, there was a delay in  
11 getting the notices out, but I think we've had  
12 substantial compliance with getting notice to the  
13 affected jurisdictions.

14           CHAIRMAN KATZ: And that's fine. And in my  
15 almost 11 years' of experience representing the land  
16 department, even though I've divorced myself from power  
17 line rights-of-way and leases, they seldom will  
18 intervene in one of these matters because they've  
19 approved or will be approving a right-of-way corridor  
20 through or across any State Trust lands.

21           Has the Applicant complied with the posting and  
22 publishing of requirements of the procedural order, that  
23 is, the notice and publishing requirements of the  
24 procedural order?

25           MR. DERSTINE: Yes, we have. The notice of

1 hearing was published in the Yuma Sun, which turns out  
2 is really the only newspaper of general circulation that  
3 serves the area. Both notices were published in the  
4 Yuma Sun within the required time period.

5 CHAIRMAN KATZ: And I'm also assuming that  
6 there's been public outreach -- I don't need to go  
7 through all the details of that right now -- including  
8 the posting of required signs in prominent locations?

9 MR. DERSTINE: That is correct.

10 CHAIRMAN KATZ: And I don't think we need to  
11 review the hearing agenda. I don't think there's  
12 anything extraordinary in it. It's pretty much a rubber  
13 stamp of the agenda that we've used in most of our other  
14 line siting hearings.

15 And as I indicated earlier, our hearing begins  
16 on February 13th at 1:00 p.m. to allow out-of-town  
17 folks, including committee members, to arrive if they  
18 intend to attend in person. And it's likely going to  
19 take two to three days. We have the possibility of  
20 going through the 17th, but it doesn't appear that we'll  
21 be doing that.

22 And as always, we'll need sign-in forms for  
23 members of the public, but I'm assuming that we haven't  
24 had a heck of a lot of interest from members of the  
25 general public in this project, so I'm not expecting a

1 lengthy period of time for those comments.

2 But have you received any significant comments,  
3 in writing or otherwise?

4 MR. DERSTINE: We have not, Chairman. I think  
5 just due to the location of the project being far away  
6 from any real development, commercial or residential, we  
7 have not received any real comment or feedback.

8 There was an in-person open house and then  
9 there was a virtual or a streaming open house through  
10 the project website, newsletters that have gone out, as  
11 well as the use of social media to publicize this  
12 upcoming hearing, and we'll continue to update any  
13 comments we receive through the time of the hearing.

14 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you. And again, if  
15 anybody wants daily transcripts, whether you do or you  
16 don't, we are going to try to keep all of our hearing  
17 sessions to a maximum of 90 minutes with about a  
18 15-minute break between those sessions. On our first  
19 day, we don't begin until after lunch on Monday.

20 And I understand that we have our usual group  
21 of IT folks there, so we'll have good Wi-Fi connections  
22 and the like.

23 I also wish to remind everybody -- it was hard  
24 for me to make the adjustment, but since COVID -- well,  
25 even before then, Tom Chenal was always encouraging

1 business casual.

2 I'm wearing a flannel shirt and blue jeans  
3 today. I'll change the jeans to black or I'll put on  
4 some khakis, but I'm not going to encourage anybody to  
5 put a suit and tie on. You won't gain any brownie  
6 points because you look pretty. It's unlike when I was  
7 on the bench. I might have thrown you out of the  
8 courtroom. But just make sure you're comfortable and  
9 respectful in your attire.

10 I don't think we need to have any spreadsheets  
11 prepared because we don't have multiple segments of this  
12 project.

13 And I did receive a filing, and I have three  
14 exhibits in front of me right now. I don't know if you  
15 want to give them to the court reporter.

16 But the first exhibit I have is a summary of  
17 the public outreach efforts [Exhibit 1]. Exhibit 2,  
18 Prehearing Exhibit 2 would be the Line Siting Committee  
19 Route Tour Itinerary. And Prehearing Exhibit 3 is the  
20 Arizona Public Service Company Notice of Filing Witness  
21 Summaries and Proposed Certificates of Environmental  
22 Compatibility.

23 And those are three documents that I have  
24 received. I don't know if you want to include those  
25 today as part of the record or not, Mr. Derstine.

1 MR. DERSTINE: I think it would be fine to have  
2 them included as part of the record, unless you have  
3 some questions. I don't know that we need to project  
4 them on the screen. We're happy to do that if you have  
5 any questions. But if they can just be included in the  
6 record, that would be fine.

7 CHAIRMAN KATZ: That's fine. And I don't know  
8 whether we just want to mark them as Prehearing  
9 Exhibit 1, 2, and 3, or APS Prehearing Exhibit 1, 2,  
10 and 3. But whatever, can you get copies of those to our  
11 court reporter? I'm assuming the firm doesn't already  
12 have them.

13 MR. DERSTINE: Yeah. If we have not done so,  
14 we'll make sure that the court reporter receives them.

15 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you very much.

16 And as you know, you'll be marking all of your  
17 hearing exhibits as APS-1, 2, 3, and so on. And I  
18 assume that there are no issues with respect to those  
19 exhibits.

20 And I always like a hard copy because we keep a  
21 wonderful -- or I would say Tod, who is in trial today,  
22 keeps a wonderful library of all of our hard exhibits  
23 and files.

24 And I'm assuming there's no controversial  
25 issues that are likely going to be raised in the course

1 of this hearing.

2 And when we have public comment, if there are  
3 any public officials from any of the jurisdictions that  
4 might be affected by the project, we'll hear from them  
5 first and then from the general public.

6 And I'm assuming that there are no other  
7 significant legal issues. Would that be substantially  
8 correct?

9 MR. DERSTINE: I wanted to highlight for you  
10 one issue. This is not a case where you're going to  
11 have two CECs. I know that that is a common development  
12 in these cases where they're, you know, constructing a  
13 gen-tie line and then transferring the portion over to  
14 the owner/operator of the substation or the switchyard.

15 This project is going to be entirely owned by  
16 APS, and APS is an owner of the Hoodoo Wash switchyard  
17 where it will be interconnecting. So we won't be  
18 dealing with the two-CEC issue.

19 The one wrinkle or difference for this case,  
20 although you have seen it in prior cases, is that we  
21 will be collocating a portion of this new 500kV gen-tie  
22 line with the existing HANG2 line, another 500kV line.

23 And so through this siting committee proceeding  
24 and the proposed CEC, we'll be asking the committee to  
25 approve the amendment of CEC 135 to allow to

1 double-circuit two of the HANG2 structures so that we  
2 can place the new 500kV line with the existing HANG2  
3 line, and, thereby, it minimizes the impacts on the  
4 surrounding area. We don't have to string a new  
5 separate line, and it creates minimal impacts or effects  
6 on the HANG2 line as well.

7 So that's the only additional, I would say,  
8 legal issue as part of this proceeding is the amendment  
9 of CEC 135 to allow the collocation of the new proving  
10 ground interconnection line with the existing HANG2  
11 line.

12 CHAIRMAN KATZ: And will that be the entire  
13 line paralleling the existing line from 135 or only a  
14 portion of it?

15 MR. DERSTINE: It's only a portion of it.  
16 There's a portion that will be single circuit up to the  
17 point where it joins the HANG2 line, and then it works  
18 its way into the Hoodoo Wash Switchyard.

19 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Okay. Well, make sure you  
20 bring that to our attention at the hearing, and we'll  
21 then have the committee vote on that issue.

22 And we probably can include a condition -- and  
23 I don't know if we need to include a condition in the  
24 CEC or not, but we're going to probably need at least an  
25 order for my signature to transmit to the Corporation

1 Commission indicating that the committee, by a vote of  
2 X, you know, 9 to 0 or 8 to 2 or whatever, has approved  
3 the amendment to allow a second line to be strung at  
4 existing poles.

5 MR. DERSTINE: All right. Very good.

6 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Okay. And has the -- I'm  
7 assuming the Arizona Corporation Commission Staff has  
8 received notice of this hearing.

9 Have you received a letter in opposition, in  
10 support, or a neutral back from the Commission?

11 MR. DERSTINE: I haven't seen Staff's letter.  
12 Maybe we've received it and it hasn't come across my  
13 desk.

14 Ms. Benally, do you know if we received Staff's  
15 letter?

16 MS. BENALLY: Mr. Derstine, I have not seen  
17 Staff's letter.

18 MR. DERSTINE: Okay. So I think we're still  
19 waiting on that. Although I did have a conversation  
20 with Max Carpinelli of Staff who had called me and  
21 wanted some additional information on the issue that I  
22 just explained to you, Chairman, about the amendment of  
23 CEC 135 based on the collocation of the new line with  
24 the existing HANG2 line.

25 I provided him with that information, and I



1 don't think Staff has any issues with it, but we'll see  
2 when we receive their letter.

3 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Okay. And I'm not going to go  
4 through the proposed CEC at this point in time. Tod and  
5 I will review that and, essentially, we'll end up  
6 sending drafts to you for review. And, ultimately, once  
7 they're in final form, Chair Exhibit No. 1 will be the  
8 PDF. And we don't play with that or alter or amend it,  
9 but it just shows the public and the Corporation  
10 Commission where we started.

11 And then we have the Word version that we will  
12 amend and correct as might be necessary. And that will  
13 get sent back to Tod for transcription into -- or for  
14 turning back into a PDF for my signature, and we'll get  
15 it filed promptly.

16 I'm just making a note here.

17 And are you still awaiting any processes -- not  
18 processes -- permits from any federal, state, county, or  
19 local agency?

20 MR. DERSTINE: As I indicated, the project, the  
21 gen-tie line does cross BLM land; and, therefore, it was  
22 subject to the federal permitting process.

23 The developer received an EA for the project,  
24 and then the interconnection design changed slightly.  
25 And so we've gone back and we're just awaiting the final

1 approval of that modification of the gen-tie line design  
2 and approval from BLM. It does not appear that it  
3 significantly impacts or changes the EA and the position  
4 of BLM with regard to the project, and that's the only  
5 outstanding issue.

6 CHAIRMAN KATZ: And we're not awaiting an  
7 environmental impact statement. It's basically been  
8 done on the short basis because of the minimal impact,  
9 but you need to get final approval.

10 And you, as always, know and your clients know  
11 that they can't begin construction until they have all  
12 state, federal, and locally required permits.

13 With respect to notebooks for committee  
14 members, I don't think -- you might -- I always like to  
15 have a notebook. Tod always prepares one for me. But I  
16 would at least like to have a copy of all of the  
17 exhibits in a hearing notebook, maybe one or two other  
18 copies. I think you maybe still have to file 25 copies  
19 of everything, and I know our committee is going crazy.

20 MR. DERSTINE: Yeah.

21 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Because they get sometimes  
22 boxes full of documents, most of which are readily  
23 available on the docket or will be presented through --  
24 and I'm assuming there will be notebooks of some type,  
25 iPads or similar devices, for all of the committee

1 members; is that correct?

2 MR. DERSTINE: That's correct. We'll have all  
3 of the application as well as all of the exhibits and  
4 any other reference material loaded onto iPads that will  
5 be made available to the committee members.

6 CHAIRMAN KATZ: And the other thing I want to  
7 indicate on this record is that at 2:00 p.m. on the  
8 14th, we have scheduled a special meeting to consider  
9 Mr. Derstine's application that he filed in what has  
10 been denominated as CEC 217, and there's been a motion  
11 for a waiver of jurisdiction because I think it's a  
12 two-pole project.

13 And I don't want to get into a discussion of  
14 that now. I just wanted everybody to know that if we  
15 haven't completed the deliberations or the hearing by  
16 lunchtime at 2:00, we have that meeting to consider the  
17 motion to declare that we have no jurisdiction over this  
18 short line.

19 I don't know what the committee will do, and  
20 I'm not going to share my thoughts with you at this  
21 point in time. That's something that we'll address at  
22 2:00 p.m. on the 14th.

23 Are there any other issues or concerns that you  
24 wanted to make a record of?

25 MR. DERSTINE: Not from me, Mr. Chairman.

1 Ms. Benally?

2 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Anything, Ms. Benally?

3 MS. BENALLY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I do  
4 not have anything to add. Thank you.

5 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you all very much. And I  
6 want to thank the gentleman -- I haven't met him -- that  
7 has covered for Tod while Tod is assisting in a Superior  
8 Court trial today, but thank him for getting us here on  
9 time.

10 And we have another hearing at -- a prefiling  
11 conference at 2:00 p.m., and I want to get that started,  
12 hopefully, on time because I have a 3:00 doctor's  
13 appointment about 20 minutes away. So I'm sure that  
14 that will go smoothly.

15 Anything further, Mr. Stafford? Do you have  
16 any questions or concerns? And we can always discuss  
17 them again on Thursday.

18 MR. STAFFORD: Nothing at this time. Thank  
19 you, Mr. Chairman.

20 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Thank you very much.

21 We do stand --

22 MR. DERSTINE: I was just going to say, we  
23 would certainly welcome new Chairman Stafford to our  
24 hearing in Yuma. I understand we're going to be having  
25 a big birthday celebration for you, Mr. Chairman. So

1 that would be a great opportunity to have our next  
2 chairman come and enjoy Yuma with us, but he may have  
3 other engagements or obligations.

4 CHAIRMAN KATZ: And that will be up to him.  
5 I'm going to be carpooling with Leonard Drago because we  
6 live just maybe a 10-minute ride from one another. And  
7 I drove to our hearing last time -- last -- two weeks  
8 ago, or whatever, to Yuma, and it's his turn.

9 But Mr. Stafford will let me know. He's not  
10 compelled, but he's invited to attend virtually. And I  
11 don't think he needs to attend the whole meeting, but he  
12 might want to be there when we begin it just so he sees  
13 the protocol at least that I've been following, which is  
14 very similar to the protocol that my predecessor Tom  
15 Chenal followed.

16 So anyway, everybody, have a good rest of the  
17 week, work hard, and relax a little bit this weekend,  
18 and we'll see some of you, if not all of you, on Monday.

19 COURT REPORTER: May I ask a couple of  
20 questions?

21 CHAIRMAN KATZ: Yes, Michele. Yes, please.

22 COURT REPORTER: I see someone with Mr. Hazle  
23 on the Zoom screen, but I don't see her name.

24 MS. GRAMS: Hello. My name is Jill Grams.

25 COURT REPORTER: Thank you.

1           And did you decide to mark the exhibits you  
2           referenced as prehearing exhibits?

3           CHAIRMAN KATZ: That's fine. Even though they  
4           were submitted by APS, we can just make them Prehearing  
5           Exhibits 1, 2, 3. Okay.

6           Anyway, have a peaceful rest of the week, and  
7           we'll see most of you next week. Take care. Bye-bye.

8           (The Prehearing Conference concluded at  
9           10:27 a.m.)

10           (Prehearing Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, and Exhibit 3  
11           were marked for identification.)

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 STATE OF ARIZONA )  
 ) ss.  
2 COUNTY OF YUMA )

3

4 BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were  
5 taken before me; that the foregoing pages are a full,  
6 true, and accurate record of the proceedings, all done  
7 to the best of my skill and ability; that the  
8 proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and  
9 thereafter reduced to print under my direction.

10 I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of  
11 the parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the  
12 outcome hereof.

13 I CERTIFY that I have complied with the ethical  
14 9 obligations set forth in ACJA 7-206(F)(3) and  
15 ACJA 7-206(J)(1)(g)(1) and (2).

16 DATED this 8th day of February, 2023, at Yuma,  
17 Arizona.

18

19

20



21

22 MICHELE E. BALMER  
23 Arizona Certified Reporter  
24 No. 50489

25

26

27 I CERTIFY that GLENNIE REPORTING SERVICE, LLC,  
28 has complied with the ethical obligations set forth in  
29 ACJA 7-206(J)(1).

30

31

32

33

34 GLENNIE REPORTING SERVICE, LLC  
35 Arizona Registered Firm  
No. R1035